

2024 City Council District (CD) Redistricting Options for Discussion

DISTRICT	POPULATION	DEVIATION FROM IDEAL	
1	83,432	41	0.0%
2	85,704	2,313	2.8%
3	80,031	-3,360	-4.0%
4	81,138	-2,253	-2.7%
5	87,192	3,801	4.6%
6	82,848	-543	-0.7%
City Total Population	500,435	Overall Deviation Range	8.6%
Ideal District Population	83,406	Mean Deviation of all Districts	2.5%

Option Map A:

Proposed Changes for Council District (CD) 1:

Pros:

- 1. Moving precincts 242, 251, 252 and 244 into CD1 reduces the population of CD2. This allows for expected future population growth in this district due to all the new construction and developments expanding in this area.
- 2. Moving precincts 141, 135 and 149 into CD1 reduces the population of CD6. This allows for expected future population growth in this district due to all the new construction and developments expanding in this area.
- 3. Moving precincts 96, 95, 94, 125, 91 and 152 increases the population in CD5 and balances the population in CD1.
- 4. Moving precincts 242, 251, 252 and 244 moves more of School District 20 into CD1.
- 5. Unites the Pulpit Rock neighborhood into CD1.
- 6. Unites the Falcon Estates neighborhood into CD1.
- 7. Unites the Kitty Hawk/Bonnyville neighborhood into CD5.
- 8. Unites the Wasson neighborhood into CD5.
- 9. Unites the Garden Ranch neighborhood into CD5.
- 10. Unites most of the Vista Grande neighborhood into CD1.

Cons:

1. Divides the Cottonwood Creek Neighborhood into 3 districts (CD1, CD2 & CD6) (Currently divided into CD2 & CD6).

Proposed Changes for Council District (CD) 2:

Pros:

1. Moving precincts 242, 251, 252 and 244 into CD1 reduces the population of CD2. This allows for expected future population growth in this district due to all the new construction and developments expanding in this area.

Cons:

1. Divides the Cottonwood Creek Neighborhood into 3 districts (CD1, CD2 & CD6) (Currently divided into CD2 & CD6).

Proposed Changes for Council District (CD) 3:

Remains as is, CD3 has no precinct change in this option.

Proposed Changes for Council District (CD) 4:

Pros:

- Moving precinct 139 helps unite area around Peterson Airforce Base and the COS Airport into CD4 (this precinct, currently, has zero population). This allows for expected future population growth in this district due to all the new construction and developments expanding in this area.
- 2. Maintains Banning Lewis Ranch neighborhood between CD4 and CD6
- 3. Unites the small pocket of SD11

Proposed Changes for Council District (CD) 5:

Pros:

- 1. Moving precincts 96, 95, 94, 125, 91 and 152 increases the population in CD4 and balances the population in CD1.
- 2. Unites the Kitty Hawk/Bonnyville neighborhood into CD5.
- 3. Unites the Wasson neighborhood into CD5.
- 4. Unites the Garden Ranch neighborhood into CD5.

Cons:

1. CD5 is the largest district by population.

Proposed Changes for Council District (CD) 6:

Pros:

- 1. Moving precincts 141, 135 and 149 into CD1 reduces the population of CD6. This allows for expected future population growth in this district due to all the new construction and developments expanding in this area.
- Moving precinct 139 helps unite area around Peterson Airforce Base and the COS Airport into CD4 (this precinct, currently, has zero population). This allows for expected future population growth in this district due to all the new construction and developments expanding in this area.
- 3. Maintains Banning Lewis Ranch neighborhood between CD4 and CD6
- 4. Unites the small pocket of SD11

Cons:

1. Divides the Cottonwood Creek Neighborhood into 3 districts (CD1, CD2 & CD6) (Currently divided into CD2 & CD6).